The longitudinal reinforcement due to torsion shall either be distributed evenly over the length of the panels or concentrated at the corners.

In the case of combined action of torsion, bending and shear force, the internal forces and moments are replaced by a statically equivalent set of normal and shear forces. The reinforcement is then determined according to the provisions of sub-clauses 7.3.2 and 7.3.3.

For box-girder sections the maximum resistance of a panel is given by $V_{Rd,c}$ for members without and $V_{Rd,max}$ for members with shear reinforcement, respectively.

For other sections (such as rectangular cross-sections) the maximum resistance has to be checked by

$$\left(\frac{T_{Ed}}{T_{Rd,max}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{V_{Ed}}{V_{Rd,max}}\right)^2 \leq 1 \quad (7.3-55)$$

where $T_{Rd,max}$ is calculated as:

$$T_{Rd,max} = k_c f_{ck} t_{ef} \gamma_c 2 A_k \sin \theta \cos \theta \quad (7.3-56)$$

and the definitions are given in sub-clauses 7.3.2 and 7.3.3.

7.3.5 Punching

7.3.5.1 General

Punching failures may develop with limited deformations (brittle behaviour). Therefore, the effects of imposed deformations (temperature, creep and shrinkage, settlements, etc.) should be taken into account in design. The influence of imposed deformations can however be neglected if sufficient deformation capacity is provided. Strategies for increasing the deformation capacity are:

- choice of a sufficiently large supported area and depth of slab in combination with low bending reinforcement ratios (rules are given in sub-clause 7.3.5.3)

Punching failures may develop with limited deformations (brittle behaviour). Therefore, the effects of imposed deformations (temperature, creep and shrinkage, settlements, etc.) should be taken into account in design. The influence of imposed deformations can however be neglected if sufficient deformation capacity is provided. Strategies for increasing the deformation capacity are:

- choice of a sufficiently large supported area and depth of slab in combination with low bending reinforcement ratios (rules are given in sub-clause 7.3.5.3)
use of punching shear reinforcement (rules are given in sub-clause 7.3.5.3)

With flat slabs, safety against punching is particularly significant as the failure of one column can propagate to adjacent columns leading to a complete collapse of a structure. To avoid such progressive collapses, one of the following strategies should be adopted:

- increase of the deformation capacity of the potential failure zones (see above) to allow internal forces to redistribute, and/or
- arrange integrity reinforcement for slabs with limited deformation capacity (rules are given in sub-clause 7.3.5.6)

7.3.5.2 Design shear force, shear-resisting effective depth and control perimeter

(1) Design shear force

The design shear force with respect to punching \(V_{Ed}\) is calculated as the sum of design shear forces acting on a basic control perimeter \(b_1\).

(2) Shear-resisting effective depth

The shear-resisting effective depth of the slab \(d_v\) is the distance from the centroid of the reinforcement layers to the supported area, see Figure 7.3-20.

For flat slabs and footings, the design shear force is equal to the value of

![Figure 7.3-200: Effective depth of the slab considering support penetration \((d_v)\) and effective depth for bending calculations \((d)\).](image)

(3) Basic control perimeter \((b_1)\)

The basic control perimeter \(b_1\) may normally be taken at a distance 0.5\(d_v\).
the support reaction reduced by the actions applied inside the basic control perimeter (such as gravity loads, soil pressure at footings and deviation forces of prestressing cables).

![Figure 7.3-211: Basic control perimeters around supported areas.](image1)

![Figure 7.3-222: Basic control perimeter around walls.](image2)

![Figure 7.3-233: Choice of the potentially governing control perimeter.](image3)

In the case of slabs with variable depth control sections at a greater distance from the supported area may be governing (refer to Figure 7.3-233).

(4) Shear-resisting control perimeter \( b_0 \)

For calculating the punching shear resistance, a shear-resisting control
detailed shear field analysis as:

\[
    b_0 = \frac{V_{Ed}}{V_{\text{perp,}d,\text{max}}} \tag{7.3-57}
\]

where \( V_{\text{perp,}d,\text{max}} \) is the maximum shear force per unit length perpendicular to the basic control perimeter (Figure 7.3-244).

Figure 7.3-244: Shear force per unit length (\( v_d \)) and maximum value perpendicular to the basic control perimeter.

Figure 7.3-255: Reduction of basic control perimeter for large supported areas (\( b_{1,\text{red}} \)).

A non-uniform distribution of the shear forces may result due to:

1. **Concentrations of the shear forces at the corners of large supported areas.** This effect can approximately be taken into account by reducing the basic control perimeter (\( b_{1,\text{red}} \)) assuming that the length of its straight segments does not exceed \( 3d_{s} \) for each edge (see Figure 7.3-255.)

2. **Geometrical and static discontinuities of the slab.** In presence of openings and inserts, the basic control perimeter (\( b_{1,\text{red}} \)) is to be reduced according to the rules of Figure 7.3-266.

3. **Concentrations of the shear forces due to moment transfer between the slab and the supported area.** This effect can approximately be taken into account by multiplying the length of the reduced basic control perimeter (\( b_0 \)) with the factor 

\[
    \frac{V_{Ed}}{V_{\text{perp,}d,\text{max}}} \tag{7.3-57}
\]
Figure 7.3-266: Reduction of basic control perimeter \( (b_{1,\text{red}}) \) in presence of: (a) openings; and (b) pipes or inserts.

Cast-in pipes, pipe bundles or slab inserts, where the distance from the supported area is less than \( 5d_v \) shall be arranged perpendicular to the control perimeter. In these cases, the control perimeter should be reduced in accordance to Figure 7.3-266.

The coefficient of eccentricity can be determined as a function of the moment transferred from the column to the slab as:

\[
k_e = \frac{1}{1 + e_u / b_u}
\]

where \( e_u \) is the eccentricity of the resultant of shear forces with respect to the centroid of the basic control perimeter, see Figure 7.3-277b, and \( b_u \) is the diameter of a circle with the same surface as the region inside the basic control perimeter. For design purposes, the position of the centroid of the basic control perimeter can be calculated by approximating its shape with straight lines, see Figure 7.3-277b.

In cases where the lateral stability does not depend on frame action of slabs and columns and where the adjacent spans do not differ in length by more than 25\%, the following approximated values may be adopted for the coefficient \( k_e \):
- 0.90 for inner columns
- 0.70 for edge columns
- 0.65 for corner columns
- 0.75 for corners of walls (horizontal shear resisting members where the rules of Figure 7.3-222 apply)

4. Presence of significant loads near the supported area. In cases where significant concentrated loads \( \geq 0.2V_{Ed} \) are applied near the supported area (closer than \( 3d_v \) from the edge of the supported area) the general procedure for calculating \( b_0 \) should be used, refer to Eq. (7.3-57).
The calculation of the punching shear strength is based on the critical shear crack theory.

The parameter \( \psi \) refers to the rotation of the slab around the supported area (Figure 7.3-288).

Figure 7.3-289: Rotation (\( \psi \)) of a slab.

### 7.3.5.3 Punching shear strength

The punching shear resistance is calculated as:

\[
V_{Rd} = V_{Rd,c} + V_{Rd,d} \geq V_{Ed}
\]  

(7.3-60)

The design shear resistance attributed to the concrete may be taken as:

\[
V_{Rd,c} = k_{\psi} \frac{f_{ck}}{\gamma_c} b_0 d_v
\]  

(7.3-61)

with \( f_{ck} \) in [MPa].

The parameter \( k_{\psi} \) depends on the deformations (rotations) of the slab and follows from:

\[
k_{\psi} = \frac{1}{1.5 + 0.9 k_{dk} \psi d} \leq 0.6
\]  

(7.3-63)

where \( d \) is the mean value [in mm] of the (flexural) effective depth for the \( x \)- and \( y \)-directions.
There is evidence that the punching shear resistance is influenced by the maximum size of the aggregate \(d_g\). If concrete with a maximum aggregate size smaller than \(d_g = 16\) mm is used, the value of \(k_{dg}\) in Eq. (7.3-63) is assessed as:

\[
k_{dg} = \frac{32}{16 + d_g} \geq 0.75
\]  

(7.3-62)

where \(d_g\) is in mm. For aggregate sizes larger than 16 mm, Eq. (7.3-63) may also be used. For high strength and lightweight concrete the aggregate particles may break, resulting in a reduced aggregate interlock contribution. In that case the value \(d_g\) should be assumed to be 0.

For inclined shear reinforcement or bent-up bars (refer to Figure 7.3-), Eq. (7.3-66) is replaced by:

\[
V_{rd,s} = \sum A_{sw} k_e \sigma_{swd} \sin \alpha
\]

(7.3-64)

and Eq. (7.3-67) is replaced by:

\[
\sigma_{swd} = \frac{E_w \psi}{6} \left( \sin \alpha + \cos \alpha \right) \left( \sin \alpha + \frac{f_{bd}}{f_{ywd}} \frac{d}{\phi_w} \right) \leq f_{ywd}
\]

(7.3-65)

Figure 7.3-29: Shear reinforcement activated at failure.

Provided that the size of the maximum aggregate particles, \(d_g\), is not less than 16 mm, \(k_{dg}\) in Eq. (7.3-63) can be taken as \(k_{dg} = 1.0\).

The design shear resistance provided by the stirrups may be calculated as

\[
V_{rd,s} = \sum A_{sw} k_e \sigma_{swd}
\]

(7.3-66)

where \(\Sigma A_{sw}\) is the sum of the cross-sectional area of all shear reinforcement suitably anchored, or developed, and intersected by the potential failure surface (conical surface with angle 45°) within the zone bounded by 0.35\(d_v\) and \(d_v\) from the edge of the supported area (Figure 7.3-).

The term \(\sigma_{swd}\) refers to the stress that is activated in the shear reinforcement and can be calculated as:

\[
\sigma_{swd} = \frac{E_w \psi}{6} \left( 1 + \frac{f_{bd}}{f_{ywd}} \frac{d}{\phi_w} \right) \leq f_{ywd}
\]

(7.3-67)

where \(\phi_w\) denotes the diameter of the shear reinforcement and \(f_{ywd}\) its yield strength. The bond strength \(f_{bd}\) can be calculated according to sub-clause 6.1.3.2. Alternatively, a value \(f_{bd} = 3\) MPa for corrugated bars may be used for design.

In order to ensure sufficient deformation capacity, in slabs with punching shear reinforcement a minimum amount of punching shear reinforcement is required such that:

\[
\sum A_{sw} k_e f_{ywd} \geq 0.5V_{Ed}
\]

(7.3-68)
If more restrictive detailing rules are adopted \((s_0 \leq 0.5d, \text{ and } s_1 \leq 0.6d,\) with \(s_0\) and \(s_1\) according to Figure 7.13-9 and if the placing of the transverse reinforcement is checked at the construction site (distance between transverse reinforcements, top and bottom cover), the value \(k_{sys}\) can be increased as follows:

- \(k_{sys} = 2.4\) for stirrups with sufficient development length at the compression face of the slab and bent (no anchorages or development length) at the tension face
- \(k_{sys} = 2.8\) for studs (diameter of heads larger or equal than 3 times the bar diameter)

Other values may be used for the coefficient \(k_{sys}\) provided that they are experimentally verified.

The maximum punching shear resistance is limited by crushing of the concrete struts in the supported area:

\[
V_{Rd_{\text{max}}} = k_{sys}k_{\psi} \frac{\sqrt{f_{ck}b_0d_v}}{\gamma_c} \leq \frac{\sqrt{f_{ck}b_0d_v}}{\gamma_c} \quad (7.3-69)
\]

The coefficient \(k_{sys}\) accounts for the performance of punching shear reinforcing systems to control shear cracking and to suitably confine compression struts at the soffit of the slab. In absence of other data, and provided that reinforcement is detailed as per the provisions of sub-clause 7.13.5.3, a value \(k_{sys} = 2.0\) can be adopted.

### 7.3.5.4 Calculation of rotations around the supported area

#### Level I of Approximation

For a regular flat slab designed according to an elastic analysis without significant redistribution of internal forces, a safe estimate of the rotation at failure is:

\[
\psi = 1.5 \cdot \frac{r_s f_{yd}}{d E_s} \quad (7.3-70)
\]

where \(r_s\) denotes the position where the radial bending moment is zero with respect to the support axis.

#### Level II of Approximation

In cases where significant bending moment redistribution is considered in the design, the slab rotation can be calculated as:

\[
\psi = 1.5 \cdot \left( \frac{r_s f_{yd}}{d E_s} \right)^{1.5} \left( \frac{m_{sd}}{m_{Rd}} \right)^{1.5} \quad (7.3-75)
\]

where:
- For edge columns:

when calculations are made considering the tension reinforcement parallel to the edge:

\[ m_{sd} = V_{Ed} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{iu,i}}{2b_s} \right) \geq \frac{V_{Ed}}{4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.3-72)

when calculations are made considering the tension reinforcement perpendicular to the edge:

\[ m_{sd} = V_{Ed} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{iu,i}}{b_s} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.3-73)

- For corner columns (tension reinforcement in each direction):

\[ m_{sd} = V_{Ed} \left( 1 + \frac{e_{iu,i}}{2b_s} \right) \geq \frac{V_{Ed}}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.3-74)

In these equations, term \( e_{iu,i} \) refers to the eccentricity of the resultant of shear forces with respect to the centroid of the basic control perimeter in the direction investigated (\( i = x \) and \( y \) for \( x \) and \( y \) directions respectively, see Figure 7.3-277).

\[ m_{sd} \] is the average moment per unit length for calculation of the flexural reinforcement in the support strip (for the considered direction)

\[ m_{Rd} \] is the design average flexural strength per unit length in the support strip (for the considered direction).

The rotation has to be calculated along the two main directions of the reinforcement.

The width of the support strip for calculating \( m_{sd} \) is:

\[ b_s = 1.5 \cdot \sqrt{r_{s,x} \cdot r_{s,y}} \leq L_{\min} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.3-76)

where close to slab edges, the width of the strip is limited to \( b_s \) according to Figure 7.3-290. The same value for \( r_s \) as that for Level I of Approximation can be adopted.

Slabs calculated under this assumption do not comply with deformation capacity requirements stated in sub-clause 7.3.5.1. Therefore, they need to be provided with integrity reinforcement.

Eq. (7.3-75) also applies to slabs with a flexural reinforcement that is increased over the supported areas in order to increase their punching shear strength.
The design average flexural strength per unit length in the support strip is to be calculated accounting for both ordinary and prestressing steel at yielding.

This Level of Approximation is recommended for irregular slabs or for flat slabs where the ratio of the span lengths ($L_x/L_y$) is not between 0.5 and 2.0.

Parameter $m_{sd}$ has to be calculated consistently with the method used for determining the flexural reinforcement and is to be determined at the edge of the supported area maximizing $m_{sd}$, see Figure 7.3-31.

For prestressed slabs, Eq. (7.3-75) can be replaced by:

$$\psi = 1.5 \cdot \frac{r_s}{d} \frac{f_{yd}}{E_s} \left( \frac{m_{sd} - m_{Pd}}{m_{Rd} - m_{Pd}} \right)^{1.5}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.3-77)

where $m_{Pd}$ denotes the average decompression moment over the width of the support strip ($b_s$) due to prestressing. Constrained forces and moments and losses due to shrinkage, creep and relaxation shall be taken into account.

**Level III of Approximation**

The coefficient 1.5 in Eqs. (7.3-75) and (7.3-77) can be replaced by 1.2 if:

- $r_s$ is calculated using a linear elastic (uncracked) model
- $m_{sd}$ is calculated from a linear elastic (uncracked) model as the average value of the moment for design of the flexural reinforcement over the width of the support strip ($b_s$)

The width of the support strip can be calculated as in Level II of Approximation taking $r_{s,x}$ and $r_{s,y}$ as the maximum value in the direction investigated. For edge or corner columns, the following minimum value of $r_s$ has to be considered:

$$r_s \geq 0.67 b_{sy}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7.3-78)

**Level IV of Approximation**

Analytical or numerical techniques (for example, finite elements, finite differences, etc.) may be used for Level IV Approximation.

The rotation $\psi$ can be calculated on the basis of a nonlinear analysis of the structure and accounting for cracking, tension-stiffening effects, yielding of the reinforcement and any other non-linear effects relevant for providing an accurate assessment of the structure.
7.3.5.5 Punching shear resistance outside the zones with shear reinforcement or shearheads

The extent of the slab with shear-reinforcement can be determined by checking the resistance of the slab outside this region. Sub-clause 7.3.5.3 applies by accounting for a control perimeter with a maximum effective distance between two shear reinforcing elements of $3d_v$ (Figure 7.3-312).
Figure 7.3-323: Shear-resisting effective depth and control perimeter accounting for shearhead penetration.

The punching shear resistance of a slab outside of the shearhead is calculated on the basis of sub-clause 7.3.5.3 considering the shearhead as a rigidly supported area. The shear-resisting effective depth shall account for the position of the shearhead in the slab as shown in Figure 7.3-323.

7.3.5.6 Integrity reinforcement

Slabs without shear reinforcement, or with insufficient deformation capacity, shall be provided with integrity reinforcement (Figure 7.3-334) to avoid progressive collapse.

The resistance provided after punching by the integrity reinforcement can be calculated as:

\[ V_{Rd,int} = \sum A_s f_{yd} \left( \frac{f_t}{f_y} \right)_k \sin \alpha_{ult} \leq \frac{0.5 f'_{ck}}{\gamma_c} d_{res} b_{int} \]  

(7.3-79)

where:

- \( A_s \) refers to the sum of the cross-sections of all reinforcement suitably developed beyond the supported area on the compression side of the slab or to well-anchored bent-up bars.
- \( f_{yd} \) is the design yield strength of the integrity bars.
- Ratio \( (f_t/f_y)_k \) and parameter \( \varepsilon_{alk} \) are defined in sub-clause 5.2.5.4 and depend on the ductility class of the reinforcement.
- \( \alpha_{ult} \) is the angle of the integrity bar with respect to the slab plane at failure (after development of plastic deformations in the post-punching regime).
The integrity reinforcement should at least be composed of four bars placed over the supported area and correctly developed on the compression side of the slab. Post-tensioning tendons can also be considered as integrity reinforcement.

In order to allow full activation of the integrity bars, the diameter of the integrity bars ($d_{int}$) has to be chosen such that: $d_{int} \leq 0.12 d_{res}$.

### Table 7.3-334: Type of integrity reinforcement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha_{int}$</th>
<th>Type of integrity reinforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0^\circ$</td>
<td>Straight bars, class of ductility: A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20^\circ$</td>
<td>Straight bars, class of ductility: B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25^\circ$</td>
<td>Straight bars, class of ductility: C or D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha \leq 40^\circ$</td>
<td>Inclined or bent-up bars, class of ductility: B, C or D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $\alpha$ is the angle of the integrity bars with respect to the slab plane (before punching occurs), see Figure 7.3-334.

- $d_{res}$ is the distance between the centroid of the flexural reinforcement ratio and the centroid of the integrity reinforcement, see Figure 7.3-334(a) and (b).
- $b_{int}$ is the control perimeter activated by the integrity reinforcement after punching. It can be calculated as:

$$b_{int} = \sum (s_{int} + \frac{\pi}{2} d_{res}) \quad (7.3-80)$$

where the summation refers to the groups of bars activated at the edge of the supported area and $s_{int}$ is equal to the width of the group of bars (refer to Figure 7.3-334).

### 7.3.6 Design with stress fields and strut and tie models

#### 7.3.6.1 General

Structures can be subdivided into $B$-regions, where the assumption of a plane section may be used ($B$ for Bernoulli) and $D$-regions, where a non-linear strain distribution exists ($D$ for discontinuity); $D$-regions typically are located at supports or at places of concentrated loads.